

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ES-I
--------------------------	-------------

1.0 INTRODUCTION/PURPOSE OF AND NEED FOR THE ACTION	I-1
1.1 Authority and Jurisdiction	1-1
1.2 Purpose of and Need for the Project	1-1
1.3 Regional Transmission Network Overview	1-2
1.4 Sierra Pacific Power Company's Transmission System	1-5
1.4.1 Forecasts of SPPC's System Demand/Load.....	1-7
1.4.2 Primary Objectives And Design.....	1-13
1.4.3 Primary Project Benefits	1-15
1.4.4 Secondary Benefits and Objectives.....	1-16
1.5 Permits	1-17
1.6 Resource Management Plan Conformance Statement	1-18
 2.0 DESCRIPTION OF ALTERNATIVES, INCLUDING THE PROPOSED ACTION	2-1
2.1 Proposed Action	2-2
2.1.1 Overview of the Project.....	2-2
2.1.2 Work Force and Construction Schedule.....	2-24
2.1.3 Operation and Maintenance.....	2-25
2.1.4 Right-of-Way Term of Authorization	2-26
2.1.5 Abandonment Procedures.....	2-26
2.2 Route Alternatives.....	2-26
2.2.1 Background.....	2-26
2.2.2 Crescent Valley Route Alternatives.....	2-27
2.2.3 Pine Valley Route Alternatives	2-29
2.2.4 Buck Mountain Route Alternative	2-29
2.2.5 No Action Alternative.....	2-29
2.3 Alternatives Considered but Eliminated from Detailed Analysis.....	2-30
2.3.1 Alternative Transmission Line Route Alternatives.....	2-30
McClusky Pass	2-30
Highway 305 Planning Corridor.....	2-30
Highway 305 Planning Corridor via Antelope Valley.....	2-31
Southwest Intertie Project (SWIP) Alternative	2-31
Yucca Mountain Potential Rail Alternative	2-31
2.3.2 Other System, Facility and Construction Alternatives.....	2-31
Substation Alternatives.....	2-32
System Enhancement Alternatives.....	2-32
Generation Alternatives	2-32
Alternative Combinations	2-33

Alternative Transmission Technologies	2-33
Alternative Tower Types	2-34
Alternative Stringing Methods.....	2-34
2.3.3 Transmission Alternatives Presented to Public Utilities Commission.....	2-34

3.0 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES & MITIGATION MEASURES

3.0-I

3.0.1 BLM Critical Elements	3.0-1
3.0.2 Approach and Format of Analysis	3.0-2
3.1 Geology and Minerals.....	3.1-1
3.1.1 Area of Analysis and Methodology	3.1-1
3.1.2 Affected Environment	3.1-2
3.1.3 Environmental Consequences	3.1-20
3.2 Soils	3.2-1
3.2.1 Area of Analysis and Methodology	3.2-1
3.2.2 Affected Environment.....	3.2-2
3.2.3 Environmental Consequences.....	3.2-4
3.3 Water Resources.....	3.3-1
3.3.1 Area of Analysis and Methodology	3.3-1
3.3.2 Affected Environment.....	3.3-3
3.3.3 Environmental Consequences.....	3.3-9
3.4 Vegetation (including Wetlands).....	3.4-1
3.4.1 Area of Analysis and Methodology	3.4-1
3.4.2 Affected Environment.....	3.4-4
3.4.3 Environmental Consequences.....	3.4-10
3.5 Invasive, Nonnative Species	3.5-1
3.5.1 Area of Analysis and Methodology	3.5-1
3.5.2 Affected Environment.....	3.5-4
3.5.3 Environmental Consequences.....	3.5-7
3.6 Wildlife and Wildlife Habitat.....	3.6-1
3.6.1 Area of Analysis and Methodology	3.6-1
3.6.2 Affected Environment.....	3.6-1
3.6.3 Environmental Consequences.....	3.6-11
3.7 Special Status Species - Animal and Plant.....	3.7-1
3.7.1 Area of Analysis and Methodology	3.7-1
3.7.2 Affected Environment.....	3.7-4
3.7.3 Environmental Consequences.....	3.7-21
3.8 Range Resources - Livestock Grazing and Wild Horses.....	3.8-1
3.8.1 Area of Analysis and Methodology	3.8-1
3.8.2 Affected Environment.....	3.8-2
3.8.3 Environmental Consequences.....	3.8-6

3.9	Visual Resources	3.9-1
3.9.1	Area of Analysis and Methodology.....	3.9-1
3.9.2	Affected Environment.....	3.9-5
3.9.3	Environmental Consequences	3.9-6
3.10	Public Health and Safety: Fire Management, Hazardous Materials, and Electric and Magnetic Fields (EMFs)	3.10-1
3.10.1	Area of Analysis and Methodology.....	3.10-1
3.10.2	Affected Environment.....	3.10-1
3.10.3	Environmental Consequences	3.10-11
3.11	Noise	3.11-1
3.11.1	Area of Analysis and Methodology.....	3.11-2
3.11.2	Affected Environment.....	3.11-11
3.11.3	Environmental Consequences	3.11-14
3.12	Air Quality	3.12-1
3.12.1	Area of Analysis and Methodology.....	3.12-1
3.12.2	Affected Environment.....	3.12-3
3.12.3	Environmental Consequences	3.12-7
3.13	Land Use and Access	3.13-1
3.13.1	Area of Analysis and Methodology.....	3.13-1
3.13.2	Affected Environment.....	3.13-1
3.13.3	Environmental Consequences	3.13-10
3.14	Recreation and Wilderness	3.14-1
3.14.1	Area of Analysis and Methodology.....	3.14-1
3.14.2	Affected Environment.....	3.14-1
3.14.3	Environmental Consequences	3.14-11
3.15	Social and Economic Values.....	3.15-1
3.15.1	Area of Analysis and Methodology.....	3.15-1
3.15.2	Affected Environment.....	3.15-2
3.15.3	Environmental Consequences	3.15-6
3.16	Cultural Resources	3.16-1
3.16.1	Area of Analysis and Methodology.....	3.16-1
3.16.2	Affected Environment.....	3.16-8
3.16.3	Environmental Consequences	3.16-20
3.17	Paleontology	3.17-1
3.17.1	Area of Analysis and Methodology.....	3.17-1
3.17.2	Affected Environment.....	3.17-2
3.17.3	Environmental Consequences	3.17-5
3.18	Environmental Justice	3.18-1
3.18.1	Area of Analysis and Methodology.....	3.18-1
3.18.2	Affected Environment.....	3.18-1
3.18.3	Environmental Consequences	3.18-4
3.19	Native American Concerns.....	3.19-1
3.19.1	Area of Analysis and Methodology.....	3.19-1

3.19.2	Affected Environment.....	3.19-3
3.19.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.19-6
3.20	Comparison of Route Alternatives	3.20-1
3.20.1	Summary of Impacts	3.20-1
3.20.2	Identification of the Environmentally Preferred Route Alternative	3.20-10
3.20.3	Unavoidable Significant Adverse Effects	3.20-10
3.20.4	No Action Alternative	3.20-10
4.0	CUMULATIVE IMPACTS, SHORT-TERM USE OF THE ENVIRONMENT VS. LONG-TERM PRODUCTIVITY, IRREVERSIBLE / IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENT OF RESOURCES	4-1
4.1	Cumulative Impacts Study Area and Timeframe	4-1
4.2	Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions	4-3
4.2.1	Past Actions.....	4-5
4.2.2	Present Actions.....	4-6
4.2.3	Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions.....	4-7
4.3	Evaluation of Potential Cumulative Impacts	4-10
4.3.1	Geology and Minerals.....	4-10
4.3.2	Soils.....	4-11
4.3.3	Water Resources	4-12
4.3.4	Vegetation.....	4-13
4.3.5	Invasive, Nonnative Species	4-13
4.3.6	Wildlife and Wildlife Habitat.....	4-13
4.3.7	Special-Status Species	4-14
4.3.8	Visual Resources.....	4-15
4.3.9	Public Health and Safety`	4-16
4.3.10	Cultural Resources.....	4-17
4.3.11	Native American Concerns.....	4-19
4.3.12	Land Use and Access	4-19
4.3.13	Recreation/Wilderness	4-19
4.3.14	Environmental Justice	4-20
4.3.15	Social and Economic Values	4-20
4.3.16	Noise	4-20
4.3.17	Air Quality	4-20
4.4	Short-Term Use of the Environment vs. Long-Term Productivity	4-21
4.5	Irreversible / Irretrievable Commitment of Resources	4-21
5.0	RESOURCE MANAGEMENT PLAN AMENDMENTS	5-1
5.1	Proposed RMP Amendments	5-1
5.2	RMP Amendment Process	5-1
5.2.1	Planning Criteria.....	5-2

5.3	Objectives for Designating Utility Corridors	5-2
5.4	Analysis of Environmental Impacts and Planning Implications.....	5-5
5.4.1	Geology and Mineral Resources.....	5-5
5.4.2	Soils	5-5
5.4.3	Water Resources.....	5-6
5.4.4	Vegetation	5-6
5.4.5	Invasive, Nonnative Species.....	5-7
5.4.6	Wildlife and Wildlife Habitat	5-8
5.4.7	Special-Status Species	5-9
5.4.8	Range Resources	5-9
5.4.9	Visual Resources	5-10
5.4.10	Public Health and Safety.....	5-11
5.4.11	Noise	5-11
5.4.12	Air Quality.....	5-12
5.4.13	Recreation/Wilderness.....	5-12
5.4.14	Land Use and Access	5-13
5.4.15	Social and Economic Values.....	5-14
5.4.16	Cultural Resources and Paleontology	5-15
5.4.17	Environmental Justice.....	5-16
5.4.18	Native American Concerns	5-16
5.5	Conclusion.....	5-17
6.0	PUBLIC COMMENTS ON DRAFT EIS AND RESPONSES TO COMMENTS	6-1
7.0	CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION	7-1
7.1	Public and Agency Review Process.....	7-1
7.2	List of Preparers	7-1
7.1.1	Bureau of Land Management Team	7-2
7.1.2	EDAW Team (Environmental Consultants).....	7-3
7.1.3	Cooperating Agencies	7-5
7.1.4	Sierra Pacific Power Company	7-5
7.3	Persons, Groups, and Agencies Contacted.....	7-6
7.4	References Cited	7-7
8.0	GLOSSARY AND ACRONYMS	8-1
8.1	Glossary	8-1
8.2	List of Acronyms	8-5
9.0	INDEX	9-1

APPENDICES

A.	Typical Pole Structure Components	A-1
B.	Agencies, Organizations, and Individuals Who Received the Draft EIS.....	B-1
C.	Alternative Route Selection Methodology.....	C-1
D.	Land Use Information	D-1
E.	Reclamation Plan	E-1
F.	Preliminary Fire Prevention and Suppression Plan.....	F-1
G.	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Species Lists.....	G-1

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Description	Page
ES-1	Summary of Rankings — Route Alternatives Comparison.....	ES-11
1-1	February 2000 Load Forecasts	1-7
1-2	SPPC's Annualized Electric Growth Rates for Selected Periods	1-7
1-3	SPPC's Annualized Electric Growth Rates for Customer Sectors.....	1-9
1-4	SPPC Transmission Wheeling Demands.....	1-11
1-5	Individual Transmission Line Ratings.....	1-15
2-1	Extent of Right-of-Way on Federal Public Land to be Authorized by BLM.....	2-2
2-2	Summary of Project Components	2-3
2-3	Applicant-Committed Mitigation Measures	2-5
2-4	Existing Access Roads to be Used During Construction	2-14
2-5	Temporary Disturbance Area Estimate	2-16
2-6	Long-Term Disturbance Area Estimate (Over Life Of The Project).....	2-17
2-7	Route Alternatives	2-28
3.1-1	Elevation, Topography, Geology, and Hazards	3.1-14
3.1-2	Summary of Impacts by Route Alternative	3.1-32
3.2-1	Potential Project-Related Impacts of the Soil Groups Analyzed.....	3.2-9
3.2-2	Miles of Soil Constraint Groups Crossed by the Transmission Line and Substation.....	3.2-13
3.2-3	Summary Comparison of Impacts by Alternative.....	3.2-15
3.3-1	Mean Monthly Precipitation for the Station at Cortez Mine (1969-1973)	3.3-4
3.3-2	Number of Watercourse Crossings, Springs, or Water Wells within 0.25 Mile of Route Segments	3.3-13
3.3-3	Number of Watercourse Crossings, Springs, or Water Wells within 0.25 Mile of Route Alternative.....	3.3-20
3.3-4	Summary of Impacts by Route Alternative	3.3-25
3.4-1	Plant Community Types and Characteristic Plants	3.4-5
3.4-2	Estimated Temporary Disturbance To Plant Communities by Segment and Route Alternative Within 30-Foot Centerline Travel Route	3.4-14
3.4-3	Summary of Impacts by Route Alternative	3.4-22
3.5-1	Noxious and Invasive Weeds Included in The Survey.....	3.5-3
3.5-2	Noxious Weed Infestation by Segment	3.5-12
3.5-3	Noxious Weed Infestation by Route Alternative	3.5-12
3.5-4	Summary of Impacts by Route Alternative	3.5-16
3.6-1	Wildlife Species Observed.....	3.6-9
3.6-2	Wildlife Habitats and Plant Community Types Along the Study Corridor.....	3.6-10
3.6-3	Estimated Temporary Disturbance to Wildlife Habitat from Construction Activities on the Centerline Travel Route.....	3.6-13
3.6-4	Mule Deer Range by Route Alternative.....	3.6-14
3.6-5	Summary of Impacts by Route Alternative	3.6-21
3.7-1	Potential Special-Status Plant Species in the Study Corridor	3.7-4
3.7-2	Special-Status Plant Species Field Survey Results	3.7-6
3.7-3	Special-Status Wildlife Known or Potentially Occurring Within the Study Corridor	3.7-9

Table	Description	Page
3.7-4	Burrowing Owl Burrows Sighted Along the Study Corridor (Spring 1999 and Spring 2000 Data)	3.7-24
3.7-5	Golden Eagle Nests Sighted Along the Study Corridor (Spring 1999 Data)	3.7-25
3.7-6	Pygmy Rabbit Burrows Sighted Along the Study Corridor (Spring 1999 Data).....	3.7-25
3.7-7	Active Leks Sighted Along the Study Corridor (Spring 1999 Data).....	3.7-29
3.7-8	Active Leks Sighted Along the Study Corridor (Spring 2000 Data)	3.7-30
3.7-9	Ferruginous Hawk Nests Sighted Along the Study Corridor (Spring 1999 Data)	3.7-32
3.7-10	Summary of Species Occurrence by Segment	3.7-35
3.7-11	Summary of Species Occurrence by Route Alternatives	3.7-35
3.7-12	Summary of Impacts by Route Alternative.....	3.7-42
3.8-1	Livestock Grazing Allotments within One Mile of the Route Alternative Segements.....	3.8-5
3.8-2	Temporary Disturbance of BLM Grazing Allotments	3.8-7
3.8-3	Long-Term Disturbance of BLM Grazing Allotments.....	3.8-8
3.8-4	Potential Long-Term Loss of AUMs within BLM Grazing Allotments.....	3.8-8
3.8-5	Summary of Impacts by Route Alternative.....	3.8-9
3.9-1	Visual Impact Level	3.9-5
3.9-2	Estimated Number of Occupied Homes Near the Proposed Transmission Line	3.9-10
3.9-3	Summary of Impacts by Route Alternatives.....	3.9-20
3.9-4	Summary of Impact at KOPs	3.9-21
3.10-1	Typical Electric Field Values for Appliances (at 12 Inches)	3.10-3
3.10-2	Magnetic Fields from Household Appliances	3.10-4
3.10-3	Summary of Spot Room Measurements in the United States (992 Residences)- mG	3.10-5
3.10-4	Percentage of U.S. Population with Average Field Exposure Exceeding Given Values ...	3.10-5
3.10-5	Average Magnetic Field Exposure During Work for Different Occupations	3.10-6
3.10-6	State Regulations for Transmission Line Fields	3.10-10
3.10-7	American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists Occupational Threshold Limit Values for 60-Hz EMF.....	3.10-11
3.10-8	International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP)	3.10-11
3.10-9	Summary of Electric Field Calculations for the Three Transmission Line Configurations	3.10-22
3.10-10	Summary of Magnetic Field Calculations for the Three Transmission Line Configurations	3.10-27
3.10-11	Calculated Induced Current for Objects Near 345 kV Line for Theoretical Conditions...	3.10-28
3.10-12	Summary of Impacts by Route Alternative.....	3.10-32
3.11-1	Typical Sound Levels for Common Sources in A-Weighted Decibels	3.11-1
3.11-2	Average Ambient Sound Levels	3.11-2
3.11-3	Spot Measurements of Sound Levels Along Proposed 345 kV Transmission Line Route (at the Proposed ROW Edge)	3.11-12
3.11-4	2-Hour Measurements of Sound Levels Along Proposed 345 kV Transmission Line Route (at the Proposed ROW Edge)	3.11-13
3.11-5	Spot Measurements of Sound Levels Along Existing and Proposed Gonder Substation Property Line	3.11-13

Table	Description	Page
3.11-6	1-Hour Measurements of Sound Levels Along Existing and Proposed Gonder Substation Property Line.....	3.11-14
3.11-7	Typical Construction Equipment Sound Levels.....	3.11-15
3.11-8	Blasting Noise Thresholds	3.11-17
3.11-9	Calculated Audible Noise Levels for the Proposed 345 kV Transmission Line (at the Proposed ROW Edge).....	3.11-18
3.11-10	Combined Ambient and Calculated Noise Levels for the Proposed 345 kV Transmission Line (at the Proposed ROW Edge)	3.11-20
3.11-11	Summary of 345/125 kV Transformer Noise Measurements at Mira Loma Substation (in dB).....	3.11-22
3.11-12	Frequency Allocation Table for ITU — Region #2	3.11-28
3.11-13	Summary of Impacts by Route Alternative	3.11-31
3.12-1	Ambient Air Quality Standards	3.12-2
3.12-2	Summary of Annual Nevada Air Quality Monitoring Data.....	3.12-6
3.12-3	Construction Related Emissions (tons/year).....	3.12-8
3.12-4	Summary of Impacts by Route Alternatives	3.12-11
3.13-1	Generalized Land Uses in the Study Area	3.13-2
3.13-2	Generalized Land Uses in the Study Area	3.13-12
3.13-3	Private Parcels and Landowners Within the Project ROW.....	3.13-13
3.13-4	Developed Land Uses and Distance From Centerline.....	3.13-13
3.13-5	Active Mining Claims in the Project Area	3.13-14
3.13-6	Road Crossings by Segment and Route Alternatives.....	3.13-16
3.13-7	BLM Land Use Authorizations in the Study Area	3.13-17
3.13-8	Summary of Impacts by Route Alternative	3.13-22
3.14-1	Developed BLM Recreation Sites within 50 Miles of the Project.....	3.14-1
3.15-1	Economic Profile of Elko, Eureka, Lander and White Pine Counties	3.15-3
3.15-2	Current Average Estimated Home Values by County.....	3.15-3
3.15-3	Gross Taxable Sales Comparison by County (Fiscal Year 1998-1999).....	3.15-4
3.15-4	Estimated Property Taxes Generated by Route Alternative	3.15-10
3.16-1	Summary of Cultural Resource Sites by Route Alternative	3.16-17
3.16-2	Summary of Cultural Resource Sites Near Access Roads.....	3.16-19
3.16-3	Summary of TCPs by Route Alternative	3.16-20
3.16-4	Summary of Impacts by Route Alternative	3.16-35
3.17-1	Geologic Formations in the Project Area Ranked by Paleontological Potential and Significance	3.17-3
3.17-2	Summary of Impacts by Route Alternatives	3.17-5
3.18-1	Population Characteristics of Nevada Counties (1997 Estimates)	3.18-1
3.18-2	Per Capita Median Income by County (1997)	3.18-2
3.18-3	County Estimates for People of all Ages in Poverty (1995)	3.18-2
3.18-4	Native American Reservation Population, Employment, and Poverty in the Project Area (1997)	3.18-3

Table	Description	Page
3.19-1	Native American Ethnobiotic Resources of Concern in the Project Area.....	3.19-7
3.19-2	Summary of Impacts by Route Alternative.....	3.19-12
3.20-1	Comparison of Route Alternatives – Wildlife and Special-Status Species Impacts	3.20-5
3.20-2	Comparison of Route Alternatives – Invasive Nonnative Species Impacts (e.g., Noxious Weeds).....	3.20-6
3.20-3	Comparison of Route Alternatives — Cultural Resource Impacts.....	3.20-7
3.20-4	Comparison of Route Alternatives — Visual Resource Impacts.....	3.20-9
3.20-5	Summary of Rankings — Route Alternatives Comparison	3.20-10
4-1	Estimated Cumulative Ground Disturbance	4-3

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Description	Page
ES-1	Falcon to Gonder Project Route Alternatives	ES-3
ES-2	Existing BLM Corridors.....	ES-15
1-1	Major Electric Transmission Lines in the Western U.S.	1-4
1-2	Sierra Pacific Power Company's Service Area	1-6
1-3	Sierra Pacific Power Company's Transmission System and Utility Interconnections.....	1-8
1-4	February 2000 Load Forecasts	1-9
1-5	Eastern Nevada-Northern Utah Transmission System.....	1-14
2-1	Project Vicinity Map	2-6
2-2	Tower Structures	2-7
2-3	Views of Falcon and Gonder Substations	2-8
2-4	Site Plan of Existing Falcon Substation.....	2-9
2-5	Site Plan of Existing Gonder Substation.....	2-10
2-6	Site Plan of Proposed Falcon Substation.....	2-11
2-7	Site Plan of Proposed Gonder Substation.....	2-12
2-8	Construction Methods Diagram	2-15
2-9	Wire Stringing Methods.....	2-21
2-10	Potential Material Yard Locations	2-22
3.1-1	Fault Systems.....	3.1-11
3.2-1	Areas with No Reclamation Constraints	3.2-5
3.2-2	Areas with Steep Slopes and High Water Erodibility	3.2-6
3.4-1	Fires In 1999 and 2000	3.4-11
3.5-1	Hoary Cress (<i>Cardaria Draba</i>).....	3.5-5
3.5-2	High Density Meadow Population of Hoary Cress (<i>Cardaria draba</i>)	3.5-6
3.5-3	Typical Roadside Population of Hoary Cress (<i>Cardaria draba</i>)	3.5-6
3.5-4	Noxious Weed Infestation Areas.....	3.5-13
3.6-1	Mule Deer Seasonal Range.....	3.6-5
3.7-1	Pennell Draba.....	3.7-7
3.7-2	Hercules Gap Pennell Draba (<i>Draba pennellii</i>) and Habitat.....	3.7-8
3.7-3	Sage Grouse Location Map.....	3.7-15
3.7-4	Special-Status Wildlife Location Map.....	3.7-16
3.8-1	Grazing Allotments	3.8-3
3.8-2	Wild Horse Herd Management Areas	3.8-4
3.9-1	Key Observation Points (KOPs)	3.9-23
3.9-2	KOP 1: I-80 Crossing.....	3.9-24
3.9-3	KOP 2: Geologic Feature	3.9-25
3.9-4	KOP 3: Crescent Valley.....	3.9-26
3.9-5	KOP 4: Highway 306.....	3.9-27
3.9-6	KOP 5: Geologic Feature	3.9-28
3.9-7	KOP 6: Cortez	3.9-29
3.9-8	KOP 7: South of Cortez.....	3.9-30

Figure	Description	Page
3.9-9	KOP 8: Geologic Feature.....	3.9-31
3.9-10	KOP 9: Highway 306	3.9-32
3.9-11	KOP 10: Eureka-Palisade RR Grade.....	3.9-33
3.9-12	KOP 11: Highway 306.....	3.9-34
3.9-13	KOP 12: Eureka-Palisade RR Grade.....	3.9-35
3.9-14	KOP 13: Highway 278.....	3.9-36
3.9-15	KOP 14: Railroad Pass.....	3.9-37
3.9-16	KOP 15: Pony Express Trail	3.9-38
3.9-17	KOP 16: Warm Springs Ranch.....	3.9-39
3.9-18	KOP 17: Buck Pass	3.9-40
3.9-19	KOP 18: Highway 278 at Frasier Creek.....	3.9-41
3.9-20	KOP 19: Pony Express Trail	3.9-42
3.9-21	KOP 20: Highway 50 Devil's Gate	3.9-43
3.9-22	KOP 21: Pony Express Trail	3.9-44
3.9-23	KOP 22: Highway 50 Eastbound.....	3.9-45
3.9-24	KOP 23: Highway 50 Westbound.....	3.9-46
3.9-25	KOP 24: Palisades-Eureka RR Grade	3.9-47
3.9-26	KOP 25: Ruby Lake Road.....	3.9-48
3.9-27	KOP 26: Highway 50 Marking Corral.....	3.9-49
3.9-28	KOP 27: Highway 50 Robinson Summit.....	3.9-50
3.9-29	KOP 28: Hercules Gap.....	3.9-51
3.9-30	KOP 29: Gonder Substation.....	3.9-52
3.10-1	Configuration #1: Proposed 345 kV Transmission Line Alone	3.10-17
3.10-2	Configuration #2: Proposed 345 kV Transmission Line Paralleling Existing 66/25 kV and 120 kV Transmission Lines	3.10-18
3.10-3	Configuration #3: Proposed 345 kV Transmission Line Paralleling Existing 230 kV Transmission Line.....	3.10-19
3.10-4	Calculated Electric Field Profile for the Proposed 345 kV Transmission Line Alone	3.10-20
3.10-5	Calculated Electric Field Profile for the Existing 66/25 kV and 120 kV Transmission Lines	3.10-20
3.10-6	Calculated Electric Field Profile for the Proposed 345 kV Transmission Line Paralleling the Existing 66/25 kV and 120 kV Transmission Lines.....	3.10-21
3.10-7	Calculated Electric Field Profile for the Existing 230 kV Transmission Line	3.10-21
3.10-8	Calculated Electric Field Profile for the Proposed 345 kV Transmission Line Paralleling Existing 230 kV Transmission Line	3.10-22
3.10-9	Calculated Magnetic Field Profile for the Proposed 345 kV Transmission Line Alone	3.10-24
3.10-10	Calculated Magnetic Field Profile for the Existing 66/25 kV and 120 kV Transmission Lines	3.10-24
3.10-11	Calculated Magnetic Field Profile for the Proposed 345 kV Transmission Line Paralleling Existing 66/25 kV and 120 kV Transmission Lines.....	3.10-25
3.10-12	Calculated Magnetic Field Profile for the Existing 230 kV Transmission Line.....	3.10-26
3.10-13	Calculated Magnetic Field Profile for the Proposed 345 kV Transmission Line Paralleling Existing 230 kV Transmission Line.....	3.10-26

Figure	Description	Page
3.11-1	Configuration #1: Proposed 345 kV Transmission Line Alone.....	3.11-4
3.11-2	Configuration #2: Proposed 345 kV Transmission Line Parallelling Existing 66/25 kV and 120 kV Transmission Lines.....	3.11-5
3.11-3	Configuration #3: Proposed 345 kV Transmission Line Parallelling Existing 230 kV Transmission Line	3.11-6
3.11-4	Baseline Noise Monitoring Locations.....	3.11-7
3.11-5	Audible Noise Measurements Along Proposed 345 kV Transmission Line Route Southeast of Beowawe, Nevada (Configuration #1)	3.11-8
3.11-6	Audible Noise Measurements Along Proposed 345 kV Transmission Line Route at Crescent Valley, Nevada (Configuration #2).....	3.11-8
3.11-7	Audible Noise Measurements Along Proposed 345 kV Transmission Line Route at Ely, Nevada (Configuration #3).....	3.11-9
3.11-8	Audible Noise Measurements of a 345/125 kV Transformer at Mira Loma Substation in Reno, Nevada.....	3.11-9
3.11-9	Audible Noise Measurements At Gonder Substation in Ely, Nevada.....	3.11-10
3.11-10	Calculated Audible Noise Profile for the Proposed 345 kV Transmission Line Alone.....	3.11-18
3.11-11	Calculated Audible Noise Profile for the Proposed 345 kV Transmission Line Paralleling 66/25 kV and 120 kV Transmission Lines	3.11-19
3.11-12	Calculated Audible Noise Profile for the Proposed 345 kV Transmission Line Paralleling a 230 kV Transmission Line.....	3.11-19
3.11-13	Calculated Radio Noise Profile for the Proposed 345 kV Transmission Line Alone	3.11-24
3.11-14	Calculated Radio Noise Profile for the Proposed 345 kV Transmission Line Paralleling 66/25 kV and 120 kV Transmission Lines	3.11-25
3.11-15	Calculated Radio Noise Profile for the Proposed 345 kV Transmission Line Paralleling a 230 kV Transmission Line	3.11-25
3.11-16	Calculated TV Interference Profile for the Proposed 345 kV Transmission Line Alone ..	3.11-26
3.11-17	Calculated TV Interference Profile for the Proposed 345 kV Transmission Line Paralleling 66/25 kV and 120 kV Transmission Lines	3.11-26
3.11-18	Calculated TV Interference Profile for the Proposed 345 kV Transmission Line Paralleling a 230 kV Transmission Line.....	3.11-27
3.13-1	Land Ownership Status	3.13-3
3.14-1	Developed Recreation Sites	3.14-3
3.16-1	Cultural Resources Field Survey Areas	3.16-3
3.17-1	Geological Formations Potentially Containing Significant Paleontological Resources	3.17-4
3.19-1	Native American Resources Areas of Concern	3.19-9
5-1	Existing BLM Corridors.....	5-3

This page intentionally left blank